

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,487	03/02/2004	Alan Franklin	. 74119-004	1879	
	7590 12/22/2006 PENBERGER, LLC	EXAMINER			
190 CARONDI	•		WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105-3441			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3767		
			·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/22/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/791,487	FRANKLIN, ALAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Catherine N. Witczak	3767			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2006</u> .					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
'—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 12-14 is/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-20 is/are rejected.		• .			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<del>.</del> .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/791,487

Art Unit: 3767

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yaacobi (US 6,416,777).

Claims 1, 4, 8, 10, 11, and 15: Yaacobi discloses in Figures 9 and 10 a trans-scleral device attaching to the scleral surface comprising an insert stabilizer (50) having an interlock opening (20) a removeable and refillable implant (46) having a reservoir (81), an interlock tab (87), and an anti-angiogenesis factor in the form of a pellet (column 6, lines 10-23) in the reservoir (81) (column 7, lines 1-27).

Claim 6: Yaacobi discloses in column 8, lines 59-63 refilling the device by disengaging the replaceable implant while leaving the stabilizer attached.

Claim 16: Yaacobi discloses in Figure 9 the stabilizer (50) having a first end in which the interlock opening (20) is disposed, and a second end (25) having a relatively narrower width the first end.

Art Unit: 3767

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaacobi as modified by Sponsel et al (US 2004/0198829).

Yaacobi discloses in Figures 9 and 10 a trans-scleral device attaching to the scleral surface comprising an insert stabilizer (12) having an interlock opening (20) a removeable and refillable implant (46) having a reservoir (81), an interlock tab (87), and an anti-angiogenesis factor in the form of a pellet (column 6, lines 10-23) in the reservoir (81) (column 7, lines 1-27). Yaacobi further discloses in column 8, lines 59-63 refilling the device by disengaging the replaceable implant while leaving the stabilizer attached.

Yaacobi discloses the claimed invention except for the use of trans-scleral administration of CAI for angiogenesis treatment. Sponsel et al teach that known to use administer CAI trans-sclerally in paragraphs 0112 and 163 to provide an effective way of administering a beneficial anti-angionesis factor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method as taught by Yaacobi with trans-scleral administration of CAI as taught by Sponsel et al, since such a modification would provide the method with an effective way with administering a beneficial anti-angionesis factor.

3. Claims 3, 5, 7, 17, 18, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaacobi as modified by Sponsel et al, and further in view of De Carvalho (US 2005/0113806).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,487

Art Unit: 3767

Yaacobi as modified by Sponsel et al discloses the claimed invention expect for the reservoir being refillable through being connected to an injection port and an insert stabilizer comprising an eyelet for attaching the device through suturing. De Carvalho teaches that it is known to use a refillable reservoir connected to an injection port and an insert stabilizer comprising an eyelet for attaching the device through suturing as set forth in Figures 5 and 6 in order to allow safe refill of the reservoir (paragraph 0073) and for attachment of the device to the eye. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made o modify the method as taught by Yaacobi and modified by Sponsel et al with a refillable reservoir connected to an injecting port an taught by De Carvalho, since such a modification would provide the method with a way of safely refilling the reservoir and an eyelet for attachment of the device to the eye.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Yaacobi device is a one-piece design that cannot be refilled. Although Yaacobi may not teach replacing the insert when the device is implanted, when the device is removed, Yaacobi does disclose that the insert can be separated from the device (see Figures 9 and 10). Therefore, those independent claims which do not positively recite that the insert is removable and refillable when the device is attached to the scleral surface and only recite the insert being removeable from the device in general fall within the scope of Yaacobi's teachings.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,487

Art Unit: 3767

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 5

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

cw

WWW I

12/15/101

Reis Chrmon